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## Fighting fish

A fighting fish = gluing of unit cells, generalizing directed polyominoes

- either a single cell (the head);
- or obtained from gluing a cell to a fighting fish as follows.

(a)

(b)

(c)

Gluing only to (upper or lower) right free edges!
Order of gluing does not matter, and it is not a 2D object!


## Anatomy of fighting fish



- Area $=\#$ cells
- Fin $=$ length of path via lower free edges to first tail
- Size = \# lower free edges


Fighting fish with one tail = parallelogram polyominoes
Size $=$ Semi-perimeter

## Why fighting fish?

Parallelogram polynomioes of size $n \Rightarrow$ average area $\Theta\left(n^{3 / 2}\right)$


Duchi, Guerrini, Rinaldi and Schaeffer 2016:
Fighting fish of size $n \Rightarrow$ average area $\Theta\left(n^{5 / 4}\right)$


A new and interesting model of branching surfaces!

## Enumeration of fighting fish

Fighting fish with one tail (parallelogram polynominoes) of size $n+1$ :

$$
\mathrm{Cat}_{n}=\frac{1}{2 n+1}\binom{2 n+1}{n} .
$$

Duchi, Guerrini, Rinaldi and Schaeffer 2016:
Fighting fish of size $n+1$ :

$$
\frac{2}{(n+1)(2 n+1)}\binom{3 n}{n} .
$$

The same formula applies to

- non-separable planar maps;
- two-stack sortable permutations;
- left ternary trees;
- generalized Tamari intervals;
- etc...


## Enumeration of fighting fish, refined

Duchi, Guerrini, Rinaldi and Schaeffer 2017:
Fighting fish of size $n+1$, with $i$ lower-left free edges and $j$ lower-right free edges $(i+j=n+1)$ :

$$
\frac{1}{(2 i+j-1)(2 j+i-1)}\binom{2 i+j-1}{i}\binom{2 j+i-1}{j} .
$$

## Enumeration of fighting fish, refined

Duchi, Guerrini, Rinaldi and Schaeffer 2017:
Fighting fish of size $n+1$, with $i$ lower-left free edges and $j$ lower-right free edges $(i+j=n+1)$ :

$$
\frac{1}{(2 i+j-1)(2 j+i-1)}\binom{2 i+j-1}{i}\binom{2 j+i-1}{j} .
$$

Also the number of non-separable planar maps with $n$ edges, $i+1$ vertices and $j+1$ faces (cf. Brown and Tutte 1964);
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Also the number of two-stack sortable permutations of length $n$, with $i$ ascents and $j$ descents (cf. Goulden and West 1996);

## Enumeration of fighting fish, refined

Duchi, Guerrini, Rinaldi and Schaeffer 2017:
Fighting fish of size $n+1$, with $i$ lower-left free edges and $j$ lower-right free edges $(i+j=n+1)$ :

$$
\frac{1}{(2 i+j-1)(2 j+i-1)}\binom{2 i+j-1}{i}\binom{2 j+i-1}{j} .
$$

Also the number of non-separable planar maps with $n$ edges, $i+1$ vertices and $j+1$ faces (cf. Brown and Tutte 1964);

Also the number of two-stack sortable permutations of length $n$, with $i$ ascents and $j$ descents (cf. Goulden and West 1996);

Also the number of left ternary trees with $i$ even vertices and $j$ odd vertices (cf. Del Lungo, Del Ristoro and Penaud 1999) ...

## A conjecture for a bijection

## Conjecture (Duchi, Guerrini, Rinaldi and Schaeffer 2016)

The number of fighting fish with

- $n$ as size,
- $k$ as fin length,
- $\ell$ tails,
- $i$ left-lower free edge, and
- $j$ right-lower free edge
is equal to the number of left ternary trees with
- $n$ nodes,
- $k$ as core size,
- $\ell$ right branches,
- $i+1$ non-root nodes with even abscissa, and
- $j$ nodes with odd abscissa.

So refined, we may as well ask for a bijection!

## Our result

## Theorem (F. 2018+)

There is a bijection between fighting fish with

- $n$ as size,
- $k$ as fin length,
- $\ell$ tails,
- $i$ left-lower free edge, and
- $j$ right-lower free edge
and two-stack sortable permutations with
- $n-1$ elements,
- $k-1$ left-to-right maxima in the permutation sorted once,
- $\ell-1$ left descents in the permutation sorted once,
- $i-1$ ascents, and
- $j-1$ descents.

Not exactly the conjecture, but in its spirit.

## Sorting a permutation with a stack
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## Sorting a permutation with a stack



## Stack-sortable permutations

A permutation is stack-sortable if it is sorted in one pass.


Examples: 21534 is stack-sortable, but 215349786 is not

## Theorem (Knuth 1968)

A permutation is stack-sortable iff it contains no pattern 231.
The number of stack-sortable permutations of length $n$ is the $n$-th Catalan number Cat $_{n}=\frac{1}{2 n+1}\binom{2 n+1}{n}$.

What about two passes?

## Sorting operator

S: operator of stack sorting (valid for general sequences)


## Two-stack sortable permutations

A permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ is

- a stack-sortable permutation if $\mathrm{S}(\pi)=12 \ldots n$
- a two-stack sortable permutation (or 2SSP) if $S(S(\pi))=12 \ldots n$.


## Theorem (West 1991, Zeilberger 1992)

The number of 2SSPs of length $n$ is

$$
\frac{2}{(n+1)(3 n+1)}\binom{3 n+1}{n} .
$$

Also characterization with forbidden pattern.
We will now look at a new recursive decomposition.

## Permutation on a grid
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## Permutation on a grid



## A characterization


$\pi$ is two-stack sortable $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{S}(\pi)$ avoids pattern 231

## Decomposing...



We recall that $\mathrm{S}\left(\pi_{L} \cdot n \cdot \pi_{R}\right)=\mathrm{S}\left(\pi_{L}\right) \cdot \mathrm{S}\left(\pi_{R}\right) \cdot n$.
When compactified, both $\pi_{L}$ and $\pi_{R}$ are two-stack sortable.

## Case 1



When every element of $\pi_{L}$ are smaller than the $\min$ of $\pi_{R}$, it is easy. Just put them side by side. $\pi_{L}$ and $\pi_{R}$ can be empty.

## Case 2



When only one element $a$ of $\pi_{L}$ is larger than the $\min$ of $\pi_{R}$, then $a-1$ is a left-to-right maximal in $\mathrm{S}\left(\pi_{R}\right) . \pi_{L}$ and $\pi_{R}$ cannot be empty.

## Case 3 ... ?



It is impossible to have two elements of $\pi_{L}$ larger than the $\min$ of $\pi_{R}$, if we want to avoid 231 in $\mathrm{S}(\pi)$.

## Recursive construction

$\operatorname{slmax}(\pi)=\#$ left-to-right maxima in $\mathrm{S}(\pi)$
For $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ 2SSPs, we get

- $C_{1}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)$
- $C_{2}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, i\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{slmax}\left(\pi_{2}\right)$
Here,
$\operatorname{slmax}\left(C_{1}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)\right)=$
$\operatorname{slmax}\left(\pi_{1}\right)+\operatorname{slmax}\left(\pi_{2}\right)+1$,
$\operatorname{slmax}\left(C_{2}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, i\right)\right)=$
$\operatorname{slmax}\left(\pi_{1}\right)+\operatorname{slmax}\left(\pi_{2}\right)-$ $i+1$.



## Various statistics

## Proposition

Given two 2SSPs $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$, for any $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{slmax}\left(\pi_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{asc}\left(C_{1}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)\right)= & \operatorname{asc}\left(C_{2}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, i\right)\right)=\operatorname{asc}\left(\pi_{1}\right)+1+\operatorname{asc}\left(\pi_{2}\right), \\
\operatorname{des}\left(C_{1}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)\right)= & \operatorname{des}\left(C_{2}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, i\right)\right)=\operatorname{des}\left(\pi_{1}\right)+1+\operatorname{des}\left(\pi_{2}\right), \\
\operatorname{len}\left(C_{1}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)\right)= & \operatorname{len}\left(C_{2}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, i\right)\right)=\operatorname{len}\left(\pi_{1}\right)+1+\operatorname{len}\left(\pi_{2}\right), \\
& \operatorname{sldes}\left(C_{1}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{sldes}\left(\pi_{1}\right)+\operatorname{sldes}\left(\pi_{2}\right), \\
& \operatorname{sldes}\left(C_{2}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, i\right)\right)=\operatorname{sldes}\left(\pi_{1}\right)+\operatorname{sldes}\left(\pi_{2}\right)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

When one of $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ is empty, the formulas for $C_{1}\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)$ still hold, except that $\operatorname{asc}\left(C_{1}\left(\epsilon, \pi_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{asc}\left(\pi_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{des}\left(C_{1}\left(\pi_{1}, \epsilon\right)\right)=\operatorname{des}\left(\pi_{1}\right)$.

## Wasp-waist decomposition of fighting fish

Duchi, Guerrini, Rinaldi and Schaeffer 2017:
Idea: delete cells on lower left one by one, until it breaks $\left(\operatorname{fin}\left(\epsilon^{\bullet}\right)=1\right)$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{fin}\left(C_{1}^{\bullet}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)\right) & =\operatorname{fin}\left(P_{1}\right)+\operatorname{fin}\left(P_{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{fin}\left(C_{2}^{\bullet}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, i\right)\right) & =\operatorname{fin}\left(P_{1}\right)+\operatorname{fin}\left(P_{2}\right)-i \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{fin}\left(P_{2}\right)-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Statistics also agree!

Convention: $\operatorname{lsize}\left(\epsilon^{\bullet}\right)=\operatorname{rsize}\left(\epsilon^{\bullet}\right)=\operatorname{size}\left(\epsilon^{\bullet}\right)=\operatorname{tails}\left(\epsilon^{\bullet}\right)=1$

## Proposition (Duchi, Guerrini, Rinaldi and Schaeffer 2017)

Given two fighting fish $P_{1}, P_{2}$, for $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{fin}\left(P_{2}\right)-1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{lsize}\left(C_{1}^{\bullet}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)\right)= & \operatorname{lsize}\left(C_{2}^{\bullet}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, i\right)\right) \\
\operatorname{rsize}\left(C_{1}^{\bullet}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{rsize}\left(P_{1}\right)+\operatorname{lsize}\left(C_{2}^{\bullet}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, i\right)\right) & =\operatorname{rsize}\left(P_{1}\right)+\operatorname{rsize}\left(P_{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{size}\left(C_{1}^{\bullet}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{size}\left(C_{2}^{\bullet}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, i\right)\right) & =\operatorname{size}\left(P_{1}\right)+\operatorname{size}\left(P_{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{tails}\left(C_{1}^{\bullet}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)\right) & =\operatorname{tails}\left(P_{1}\right)-1+\operatorname{tails}\left(P_{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{tails}\left(C_{2}^{\bullet}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, i\right)\right) & =\operatorname{tails}\left(P_{1}\right)+\operatorname{tails}\left(P_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The formulas for $C_{1}^{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ hold for $P_{1}$ or $P_{2}$ being $\epsilon^{\bullet}$, except that $\operatorname{lsize}\left(C_{1}^{\bullet}\left(\epsilon^{\bullet}, P_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{lsize}\left(P_{2}\right)$, and $\operatorname{rsize}\left(C_{1}^{\bullet}\left(P_{1}, \epsilon^{\bullet}\right)\right)=\operatorname{rsize}\left(P_{1}\right)$.

## Bijection

Isomorphic recursive decompositions of fighting fish and two-stack sortable permutations, with many agreeing statistics

$$
\Rightarrow
$$

Recursive bijection preserving the statistics

Also possible to write functional equations, and we prove that the generating function with all these statistics is algebraic.

## Direct bijection?



Any direct bijection?

## Open problems

- Symmetries?
- Some statistic corresponding to area?
- How about sorting three (four, five, ...) times through a stack?
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## Thank you for your attention!

