



Octahedrons with equally many lattice points and generalizations

Thomas Stoll and Robert F. Tichy

ABSTRACT. While counting lattice points in octahedra of different dimensions n and m , it is an interesting question to ask, how many octahedra exist containing equally many such points. This gives rise to the Diophantine equation $P_n(x) = P_m(y)$ in rational integers x, y , where $\{P_k(x)\}$ denote special Meixner polynomials $\{M_k^{(\beta, c)}(x)\}$ with $\beta = 1$, $c = -1$. We join the purely algebraic criterion of Y. Bilu and R. F. Tichy (*The Diophantine equation $f(x) = g(y)$* , Acta Arith. **95** (2000), no. 3, 261–288) with a famous result of P. Erdős and J. L. Selfridge (*The product of consecutive integers is never a power*, Illinois J. Math. **19** (1975), 292–301) and prove that

$$M_n^{(\beta, c_1)}(x) = M_m^{(\beta, c_2)}(y)$$

with $m, n \geq 3$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, -\max(n, m) + 1\}$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ only admits a finite number of integral solutions x, y . Some more results on polynomial families in three-term recurrences are presented.

RÉSUMÉ. Dans l'étude du dénombrement de sommets d'octaèdres de dimensions n et m se pose la question intéressante de connaître combien d'octaèdres existent possédant le même nombre de sommets. Ce problème se traduit par l'équation diophantienne $P_n(x) = P_m(y)$, avec x, y entiers relatifs et où $\{P_k(x)\}$ sont les polynômes spéciaux de Meixner avec $\beta = 1$, $c = -1$. Nous joignons au critère purement algébrique de Y. Bilu et R. F. Tichy (*The Diophantine equation $f(x) = g(y)$* , Acta Arith. **95** (2000), no. 3, 261–288) un fameux résultat dû à P. Erdős et J. L. Selfridge (*The product of consecutive integers is never a power*, Illinois J. Math. **19** (1975), 292–301) et prouvons que

$$M_n^{(\beta, c_1)}(x) = M_m^{(\beta, c_2)}(y)$$

avec $m, n \geq 3$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, -\max(n, m) + 1\}$ et $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ n'admet qu'un nombre fini de solutions entières x, y . De plus, nous présentons quelques résultats portant sur des familles polynômiales avec triple récurrence.

1. Introduction

An n -dimensional octahedron of radius r is the convex body in \mathbb{R}^n defined by $|x_1| + \cdots + |x_n| \leq r$. In this talk we investigate the following problem and some algebraic generalizations:

Problem: *Given distinct positive integers n, m , how often can two octahedrons of dimensions n and m , respectively, contain equally many integral points?*

Obviously, it is sufficient to consider octahedrons of integral radius r . Also, any positive odd number can occur as the number of integers in the “one-dimensional octahedron” $[-r, r]$. Hence, it is natural to assume that $n, m \geq 2$.

Denote by $P_n(r)$ the number of integral points $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying $|x_1| + \cdots + |x_n| \leq r$. In 1967, Erhardt [5] proved that $P_n(r)$ is a polynomial in r of degree n indeed for any general lattice polytope described by

$$\frac{|x_1|}{a_1} + \frac{|x_2|}{a_2} + \cdots + \frac{|x_n|}{a_n} \leq r,$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11D41; Secondary 11D45, 33C05.

Key words and phrases. counting lattice points, Diophantine equations, Meixner polynomials, orthogonal polynomials.

where a_1, \dots, a_n are positive integers. In general, the Ehrhart polynomial is difficult to access and its coefficients involve Dedekind sums and their higher analogues [1]. However, in the special case of symmetric octahedra, which we are dealing with here, Kirschenhofer, Pethő and Tichy [10] could show that $P_n(r)$ can be made explicit, namely

$$(1.1) \quad P_n(r) = \sum_{i=0}^n 2^i \binom{n}{i} \binom{r}{i} = {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, -r \\ 1 \end{matrix} ; 2 \right],$$

where

$${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{(c)_k} z^k$$

is the Gauss hypergeometric function with $(a)_0 = 1$ and $(a)_k = a(a+1) \dots (a+k-1)$ the Pochhammer symbol. Thus, the original combinatorial counting problem can be restated by means of a polynomial Diophantine equation:

Problem, restated: *How many solutions $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ can the equation $P_n(x) = P_m(y)$ have?*

According to the modern Askey-scheme [14] and (1.1), we note that

$$(1.2) \quad P_k(x) = M_k^{(1, -1)}(x),$$

where

$$M_k^{(\beta, c)}(x) = {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -k, -x \\ \beta \end{matrix} ; 1 - \frac{1}{c} \right]$$

denote the well-known *Meixner* polynomials.

2. Historical remarks

Hajdu [7, 8] studied the problem for small n and m . For the cases

$$(n, m) \in \{(3, 2), (4, 2), (6, 2), (4, 3), (6, 4)\}$$

he completely determined all integral solutions of $P_n(x) = P_m(y)$. He also conjectured that the equation has finitely many solutions when $n > m = 2$. This was confirmed by Kirschenhofer, Pethő and Tichy [10], who reduced it to the Siegel–Baker theorem about the hyperelliptic equation $y^2 = f(x)$ in order to give a computable bound for integral solutions x, y of the equation $P_n(x) = P_2(y)$. Moreover, finiteness is also shown in the following three cases: $m = 4$; $2 \leq m < n \leq 103$; $n \not\equiv m \pmod{2}$. The two latter results are no longer effective (i.e., no upper bound for x, y can be retrieved from the proof), because they depend on the non-effective Davenport–Lewis–Schinzel [4] theorem about the Diophantine equation $f(x) = g(y)$. The general answer to the problem has been obtained in [2]:

THEOREM 2.1 (Bilu–Stoll–Tichy, 2000). *Let n and m be distinct integers satisfying $m, n \geq 2$. Then the equation*

$$P_n(x) = P_m(y)$$

has only finitely many solutions in rational integers x, y .

In other words, sufficiently large octahedra of distinct dimensions n, m cannot have equally many lattice points. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on a non-effective result of Bilu and Tichy [3], thus, we cannot make “sufficiently large” more explicit.

3. Generalizations

Several new questions arise in this context. For instance, it is well-known that the general family $\{M_k^{(\beta, c)}(x)\}$ defines a discrete orthogonal polynomial family if and only if $\beta > 0$ and $0 < c < 1$. Since the original case $\beta = 1, c = -1$ (see (1.2)) does not fit in, we are interested in a more general statement, which handles both the original and the orthogonal case.

Question 1: *Is it possible to derive a similar result to Theorem 2.1 for more general β and c , including the orthogonal case?*

Furthermore, one may also ask, whether it is possible to replace the family of Meixner polynomials by some other polynomial family $\{p_k(x)\}$. Since orthogonal polynomials are closely related to polynomials in three-term recurrences by Favard's theorem, the following question seems of interest.

Question 2: Let $\{p_k(x)\}$ be a sequence of polynomials defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} p_0(x) &= 1 \\ p_1(x) &= x - c_0 \\ p_{k+1}(x) &= (x - c_k)p_n(x) - d_k p_{k-1}(x), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where c_k and d_k are parameters depending only on k . For which c_k, d_k the equation $p_n(x) = p_m(y)$ only has finitely many integral solutions x, y ?

Note again, that by the Askey scheme, the Meixner polynomials satisfy a normalized recurrence relation with $c_k = (k + (k + \beta)c)/(c - 1)$ and $d_k = (k(k + \beta - 1)c)/(c - 1)^2$.

Diophantine equations of the form $p_m(x) = p_n(y)$ with polynomials in three-term recurrences have been studied recently by Kirschenhofer and Pfeiffer [11, 12]. They point out several striking connections to enumeration problems (for instance, to permutations with coloured cycles).

4. Main results

4.1. Concerning 'Question 1'. Question 1 is settled by the following result [17]:

THEOREM 4.1. Let n and m be distinct integers satisfying $m, n \geq 3$, further let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, -\max(n, m) + 1\}$. Then the equation

$$M_n^{(\beta, c_1)}(x) = M_m^{(\beta, c_2)}(y)$$

has only finitely many solutions in integers x, y .

Denote by $K_n^{(p, N)}(x)$ the two-parametric *Krawtchouk* polynomials given in [14]:

$$K_n^{(p, N)}(x) = {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, -x \\ -N \end{matrix}; \frac{1}{p} \right] \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Since

$$K_n^{(p, N)}(x) = M_n^{(-N, p/(p-1))}(x),$$

we also have

THEOREM 4.2. Let n and m be distinct integers satisfying $m, n \geq 3$, further let $N \geq \max(m, n)$ and $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Then the equation

$$(4.1) \quad K_n^{(p_1, N)}(x) = K_m^{(p_2, N)}(y)$$

has only finitely many solutions in integers x, y .

4.2. Concerning 'Question 2'. We obtain sufficient conditions on c_k and d_k in order to state an again more general finiteness theorem [18]:

THEOREM 4.3. Let $\{p_k(x)\}$ be a polynomial sequence satisfying (3.1). Assume one of the following conditions ($A, B, C \in \mathbb{Q}$)

- (1) $c_0 = A, \quad c_k = A, \quad d_k = B$ with $A \neq 0$ and $B > 0$,
- (2) $c_0 = A + B, \quad c_k = A, \quad d_k = B^2$ with $B \neq 0$,
- (3) $c_0 = A, \quad c_k = Bk + A, \quad d_k = \frac{1}{4}B^2k^2 + Ck$ with $C > -\frac{1}{4}B^2$.

Then the Diophantine equation

$$\mathcal{A}p_m(x) + \mathcal{B}p_n(y) = \mathcal{C}$$

with $m > n \geq 4, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Q}, \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} \neq 0$ has at most finitely many solutions in rational integers x, y .

Note that, for instance, in case (3) there are the six rational parameters $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, A, B, C$ involved, thus, the generality of Theorem 4.3 should well fit specific combinatorial applications. Furthermore, well-known orthogonal families are covered by the statement. So, for example, in the first case of Theorem 4.3 we deal with (shifted) *Jacobi* polynomials, while the third case corresponds to modified *Hermite* and *Laguerre* polynomials.

5. Methods and tools

5.1. The Bilu-Tichy method. The proofs of Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 are basically algebraic, as they are based on an explicit algorithmic criterion of Bilu and Tichy [3], which only involves knowledge of the coefficients of the polynomials under consideration. In order to state that result, we have to introduce some more notation.

Let $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, $q, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $v(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ a non-zero polynomial (which may be constant). Further let $D_s(x, \gamma)$ denote the Dickson polynomials which can be defined via

$$D_s(x, \gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} d_{s,i} x^{s-2i} \quad \text{with} \quad d_{s,i} = \frac{s}{s-i} \binom{s-i}{i} (-\gamma)^i.$$

The pair $(f(x), g(x))$ or viceversa $(g(x), f(x))$ is called a *standard pair over \mathbb{Q}* if it can be represented by an explicit form listed below. In such a case we call (f, g) a standard pair of the *first, second, third, fourth, fifth kind*, respectively.

kind	explicit form of (f, g) resp. (g, f)	parameter restrictions
<i>first</i>	$(x^q, \gamma x^r v(x)^q)$	with $0 \leq r < q$, $(r, q) = 1$, $r + \deg v > 0$
<i>second</i>	$(x^2, (\gamma x^2 + \delta)v(x)^2)$	–
<i>third</i>	$(D_s(x, \gamma^t), D_t(x, \gamma^s))$	with $(s, t) = 1$
<i>fourth</i>	$(\gamma^{-s/2} D_s(x, \gamma), -\delta^{-t/2} D_t(x, \delta))$	with $(s, t) = 2$
<i>fifth</i>	$((\gamma x^2 - 1)^3, 3x^4 - 4x^3)$	–

These standard pairs are important in view of the following characterization result [3].

THEOREM 5.1 (Bilu-Tichy, 2000). *Let $p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be non-constant polynomials. Then the following two assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) *The equation $p(x) = q(y)$ has infinitely many rational solutions with a bounded denominator.*
- (b) *We can express $p \circ \kappa_1 = \phi \circ f$ and $q \circ \kappa_2 = \phi \circ g$ where $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ are linear, $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, and (f, g) is a standard pair over \mathbb{Q} .*

If we are able to get contradictions for decompositions of p and q as demanded in (b) of Theorem 5.1 then finiteness of number of integral solutions x, y of the original Diophantine equation $p(x) = q(y)$ is guaranteed. Note that this approach is basically an algebraic one and does involve an accurate comparison of coefficients.

5.2. Erdős-Selfridge tool. As an additional tool, we restate a well-known result obtained by Erdős and Selfridge [6]:

THEOREM 5.2 (Erdős-Selfridge, 1975). *The equation*

$$x(x+1) \cdots (x+k-1) = y^l$$

has no solution in rational integers $x > 0$, $k > 1$, $l > 1$, $y > 1$.

Interestingly, simple comparison of the leading coefficients of the *Meixner* polynomials gives an equation very similar to that of Theorem 5.2. Therefore, there are no parameters that satisfy such a coefficient equation. In other words, we can easily derive a contradiction if we suppose a higher degree polynomial representation in Theorem 5.1.

5.3. Lesky tool. There is a close connection between three-term recurrences and Sturm-Liouville differential equations [13]:

THEOREM 5.3 (Koeppf-Schmersau, 2002). *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The second-order Sturm-Liouville differential equation ($k \geq 0$)*

$$(5.1) \quad \sigma(x)p_k''(x) + \tau(x)p_k'(x) - k((k-1)a + d)p_k(x) = 0,$$

with $\sigma(x) = ax^2 + bx + c \neq 0$, $\tau = dx + e$, $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{R}$, $d \neq -ta$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ has a (up to a factor depending on k) unique infinite polynomial family solution $\{p_k(x)\}$ of exact degree k .

(2) The family $\{p_k(x)\}$ satisfies a three-term recurrence of type (3.1) with

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= -\frac{e}{d}, \\ c_k &= -\frac{2kb((k-1)a+d) - e(2a-d)}{(2ka+d)((2k-2)a+d)}, \\ d_k &= \frac{k((k-2)a+d)}{((2k-1)a+d)((2k-3)a+d)} \left(-c + \frac{((k-1)b+e)((k-1)a+d)b - ae}{((2k-2)a+d)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The properties of Theorem 5.3 are shared by all classical orthogonal polynomials (Jacobi, Laguerre, Hermite). On the other hand, one has by Favard's Theorem (see for instance [19]), that all polynomial families defined by a three-term recurrence of shape (3.1) are orthogonal with respect to some moment functional. If one demands orthogonality with respect to a positive definite moment functional (in order to use all known facts about zeros of orthogonal polynomials etc.), then one exactly gets only Jacobi, Laguerre and Hermite up to a linear transformation $x \mapsto \nu_1 x + \nu_2$ with $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ (see the results of Lesky in [15]). Hence, one can completely characterize all positive definite orthogonal solutions of (5.1) just by looking at the coefficients a, b, c, d, e (see [9]). This can be translated into conditions on c_k and d_k for the general equation

$$Ap_m(x) + Bp_n(y) = C.$$

References

- [1] M. Beck, *Counting lattice points by means of the residue theorem*, Ramanujan J. **4** (2000), no. 3, 299–310.
- [2] Yu. F. Bilu, Th. Stoll, and R. F. Tichy, *Octahedrons with equally many lattice points*, Period. Math. Hungar. **40** (2000), no. 2, 229–238.
- [3] Yu. F. Bilu and R. F. Tichy, *The Diophantine equation $f(x) = g(y)$* , Acta Arith. **95** (2000), no. 3, 261–288.
- [4] H. Davenport, D. J. Lewis and A. Schinzel, *Equations of the form $f(x) = g(y)$* , Quat. J. Math. Oxford **12** (1961), 304–312.
- [5] E. Ehrhart, *Sur un problème de géométrie diophantienne linéaire. II. Systèmes diophantiens linéaires*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **227** (1967), 25–49.
- [6] P. Erdős and J. L. Selfridge, *The product of consecutive integers is never a power*, Illinois J. Math. **19** (1975), 292–301.
- [7] L. Hajdu, *On a Diophantine equation concerning the number of integer points in special domains. II*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **51** (1997), no. 3-4, 331–342.
- [8] L. Hajdu, *On a Diophantine equation concerning the number of integer points in special domains*, Acta Math. Hungar. **78** (1998), no. 1-2, 59–70.
- [9] Helmstädter-Rösner, *Über Polynomlösungen Sturm-Liouvillescher Differential- bzw. Differenzgleichungen zweiter Ordnung - Dissertation*, Polyfoto-Dr. Vogt KG, (Stuttgart, 1986).
- [10] P. Kirschenhofer, A. Pethő, and R. F. Tichy, *On analytical and Diophantine properties of a family of counting polynomials*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **65** (1999), no. 1-2, 47–59.
- [11] P. Kirschenhofer and O. Pfeiffer, *On a class of combinatorial Diophantine equations*, Sémin. Lothar. Combin. **44** (2000), Art. B44h, 7 pp. (electronic).
- [12] P. Kirschenhofer and O. Pfeiffer, *Diophantine equations between polynomials obeying second order recurrences*, Period. Math. Hungar. **47** (2003), no. 1-2, 119–134.
- [13] W. Koepf and D. Schmersau, *Recurrence equations and their classical orthogonal polynomial solutions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **128(2-3)** (2002) 303–327.
- [14] R. Koekoek and R. F. Swarttouw, *The Askey-Scheme of Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials and its q -Analogue.*, Delft, Netherlands, Report **98-17** (1998).
- [15] P. Lesky, *Die Charakterisierung der klassischen orthogonalen Polynome durch Sturm-Liouvillesche Differentialgleichungen*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **10** (1962) 341–351.
- [16] Th. Stoll and R. F. Tichy, *Diophantine equations for classical continuous orthogonal polynomials*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **14** (2003), no. 2, 263–274.
- [17] Th. Stoll and R. F. Tichy, *Diophantine equations involving general Meixner and Krawtchouk polynomials*, Quaest. Math. **28** (2005), no. 1, 105–115.
- [18] Th. Stoll and R. F. Tichy, *Diophantine equations for Morgan-Voyce and other modified orthogonal polynomials*, submitted, see <http://dmg.tuwien.ac.at/stoll/publ.html>.
- [19] W. Van Assche, *Orthogonal polynomials in the complex plane and on the real line*, in: *Special functions, q -series and related topics (Toronto, ON, 1995)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1997) 211–245.

TH. STOLL AND R. F. TICHY

INSTITUTE OF DISCRETE MATHEMATICS AND GEOMETRY, VIENNA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WIEDNER HAUPTSTRASSE 8-10/104, A-1040 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: stoll@dmg.tuwien.ac.at

URL: <http://dmg.tuwien.ac.at/stoll/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS A, GRAZ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, STEYRERGASSE 30, A-8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: tichy@tugraz.at

URL: <http://finanz.math.tu-graz.ac.at/tichy/>